

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,338	07/11/2003	Jin-an Jiao	TNA-005.04	8452	
25181 FOLEY HOAC	7590 06/04/2007 EY HOAG, LLP		INER		
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST			. XIE, XIA	XIE, XIAOZHEN	
155 SEAPORT BLVD BOSTON, MA 02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•		1646			
			<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/618,338	JIAO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Xiaozhen Xie	1646	

	Xiaozhen Xie	1646						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.						
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as et forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, nay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of se appeal. Since					
AMENDMENTS  3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because								
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ccause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	•					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>37,39-46,54-60 and 65-67</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13.		LEEN B. O'HARA MARY EXAMINER	Kara					
·	EII PRI	LEEN B. O'HARA MARY EXAMINER						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to claims 37 and 45 presents new issues that would require further considerartion and/or search, and will not be entered. For example, claims 37 and 45 have been amended to recite "SEQ ID NO: 2", and "at least one hypervariable region of non-human origin", which would require further consideration and a new search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment to claims 37 presents new issues, i. e., an antibody comprising a sequence represented by SEQ ID NO: 2, that would require further considerartion and/or search. Whether the amendment overcomes the art rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the '427 patent, or by Fiore et al., or under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '427 patent, or over Fiore et al., in view of Queen et al., depends on if the added limitation to the antibody is novel over prior art. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for lack of enablement for reducing tissue factor (TF) levels to treat any type of cancer in a mammal, is maintained. The claims still read on any type of cancer that expresses TF, e.g., a solid tumor and a non-tumor type of cancer such as a leukemia. The specification has not provided sufficient teachings for treating a non-tumor type of cancer that expresses TF, for reasons stated previously.